WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	

	Juan Perez-Ixta Case	e Number: <u>12-01775M-001</u>
nd was repres	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detentic esented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the lant pending trial in this case.	on hearing was held on July 30, 2012. Defendant was present evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
	FINDINGS OF	FACT
find by a prep	eponderance of the evidence that:	
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or	lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was	as in the United States illegally.
		proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the Unit	ed States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States to assure his/her future appearance.	from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated
X	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial family ties to Mexico.	substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as	ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement	contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.

The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.
- 2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.

DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: July 30, 2012

JAMES F. METCALF
United States Magistrate Judge